

IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED and DECREED that the below described is SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 25, 2018.

TONY M. DAVIS
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Western District of Texas Austin Division

IN RE: **Ronco Holdings, Inc.**, Debtor(s)

Case No.: 18–10511–tmd

Chapter No.: 11

ORDER DISMISSING PLEADING (ECF NO. 21) FOR LACK OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULES

Doc # 21 – Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Caitlin C. Conklin filed by Jason Matthew Medley for Creditor Capital 2 Thrive, LLC (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Medley, Jason)

Upon consideration thereof, the court notes that the filing is deficient in the following respects:

| ☐ The pleading does not include the signer's mailing address, telephone number and area code. |
|--|
| \square The filing is not accompanied by the requisite form of notice. |
| ☐ The Chapter 13 Plan filed does not comply with TXWB Standing Order 17–02 effective 11–1–17 for every case filed in this District on and after the effective date of this Standing Order. |
| ☐ The Chapter 13 Plan must be accompanied by certificate of service and served on all parties. |
| ☐ The pleading must be accompanied by a certificate of service and served on all parties. |
| ☐ The pleading lacks the appropriate and/or no certificate of service per Local Rule 9013(c): |
| (1) The certificate of service must be signed by an attorney or party (if appearing without counsel), certifying that service has been accomplished in the manner and on the date stated in the certificate and upon the parties required to be served. |
| (2) The certificate of service must list each of the entities served and their addresses. |
| (3) With Court approval, a party may serve a summary of a pleading where the pleading is voluminous, or the number of parties to be served is excessively large. In such cases, the summary shall be filed, and the certificate of service shall be appended to the summary. |
| (4) No certificate of service. |
| ☐ The filing states an incorrect time for notice to file objections, see Local Rule 9014(a) revised 11/1/2013. |

| The filing states an incorrect time for notice to file objections, see Local Rule $4001(a)(2)$ regarding motions for relief from stay as revised $11/1/2013$. |
|--|
| ☐ The pleading lacks the appropriate certificate of conference pursuant to Local Rules 9014(e) and 9014(f). |
| \square The pleading lacks the proposed form of order attached as an exhibit to the motion, see Local Rule 9013(b) revised $11/1/2013$. |
| \square The pleading lacks the separately uploaded proposed order, see Local Rule 9013(b) revised 11/1/2013. |
| ☐ The Objection to Claim is not in compliance with the Standing Order Regarding Objections to Proofs of Claim. |
| \square The filing is not in compliance with the current Official Bankruptcy Form(s). |
| ☐ An objection to exemption must be served on all parties. |
| Other(describe): Proposed order does not follow the requirements in ECF Admin Procedures, which can be found at http://www.txwb.uscourts.gov/ecf-admin-procedures-0. Please note the specific directives for the western district. |
| The court concludes that the filing should be dismissed without prejudice to its refiling. So ORDERED |

###